This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:	
☐ BLACK BORDERS	
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY	
OTHER.	

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/965,001	09/27/2001	Sanaa F. Abdelhadi	AUS920010903US1	2725
75	90 08/16/2004		EXAM	INER
Mr. Volel Emile			RAMPURIA, SATISH	
P.O. Box 202170 Austin, TX 78720-2170			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2124	

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		
The state of the s	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/965,001	ABDELHADI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Satish S. Rampuria	2124
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	7 September 2001.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 7	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allocation closed in accordance with the practice und	•	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the column 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is in response to the application filed on 09/27/2001.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1-6 are

4. Claimst in rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Publication
No. 2004/0139430 to Eatough et al. (hereinafter called Eatough) in view of US Patent
No. 6,151,023 to Chari (hereinafter called Chari).

Per claim 1:

vl

Eatough disclose:

- A method of interfacing an existing system management user interface with a new system management software utility running on a computer system in a network (page 2, paragraph 23 "existing systems... require learning a new interface or packaging all application... before changing the package... using one consistent interface for all package formats and operating systems, the user does not have to learn multiple interfaces and methods for managing packages" and page 1, paragraph 15 "the consistency allows all X-packages 120 to be managed in a single user interface"), said

Art Unit: 2124

computer system having a network address and page 1, paragraph 11 "MVPM system... include a distribution management server"), said method comprising the steps of: providing a set of specifications for interfacing the new user interface with said new software management system utility (page 1, paragraph 13 "package importer 108 receives the vendor-specific software package... a new package document referred to as an X-package 120... the X-package 120 may include an Extensible Markup Language (XML) package document");

Eatough does not explicitly disclose using a cross-referencing table to cross-reference the network address of the computer system with the new system management software utility.

However, Chari discloses in an analogous computer system using a cross-referencing table to cross-reference the network address of the computer system with the new system management software utility (col. 14, lines 37-48 "MIB Manager Module 402 invokes the MIB Section Module's "retrieve data" function... MIB Section Module... used to retrieve MIB data contained in a table or organized in a group of variables... MIB Section Module 404 invokes the SNMP Module's GET NEXT function... continues to retrieve data until there are no more variables in the MIB table" and Figs. 7-12B and related discussion).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of retrieving the information from the table until all variable met as taught by Chari into the method of system management software in multivender environment as taught by Eatough. The modification would be obvious because of one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to map the network address/variable for the

Art Unit: 2124

application deploying on the network server/computer in server management application to improve efficiency and provide less costly productivity as suggested by Chari (col. 6, lines 34-48).

Page 4

Per claim 2:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Eatough disclose wherein said cross-reference table includes code to translate communications between said existing user interface and said new system management software utility (page 2, paragraph 21 "The process includes importing a vendor-specific software package 102 using an XML vendor package template 110 at 200").

Eatough does not explicitly disclose cross-reference table.

However, Chari disclose in an analogous computer system cross-reference table (col. 12, lines 24-26 "Network Map Window Module 422... discover the number of servers... in the network..." and col. 14, lines 37-48 "MIB Manager Module 402 invokes the MIB Section Module's "retrieve data" function... MIB Section Module... used to retrieve MIB data contained in a table or organized in a group of variables... MIB Section Module 404 invokes the SNMP Module's GET NEXT function... continues to retrieve data until there are no more variables in the MIB table" and Figs. 7-12B and related discussion).

The feature of cross-reference table would be obvious for the reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 1.

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 3 is the computer program product claim corresponding to method claim 1 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 1 above.

Claim 4 is the computer program product claim corresponding to method claim 2 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 2 above.

Claim 5 is the system claim corresponding to method claim 1 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 1 above.

Claim 6 is the system claim corresponding to method claim 2 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 2 above.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patent is cited to further show the state of the art with respect to a pluggable user interface.

US Pub. No. 2003/0156552 to Banker et al.

US Patent No. 6,591,272 to Williams et al.

US Patent No. 2002/0116698 to Lurie et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satish S. Rampuria whose telephone number is 703-305-8891. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

Art Unit: 2124

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Satish S. Rampuria Patent Examiner Art Unit 2124 08/09/2004 Racani Ura,

KAKALI CHAGI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100